

## Ruthenium-Catalyzed *S*-Propargylation of Thiols Enables the Rapid Synthesis of Propargylic Sulfides

Teruyuki Kondo, Yusuke Kanda, Atsushi Baba, Kenji Fukuda, Ayako Nakamura, Kenji Wada, Yasuhiro Morisaki, and Take-aki Mitsudo\*

Department of Energy and Hydrocarbon Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

Received July 18, 2002

Propargylic sulfides and their derivatives are biologically active compounds,<sup>1</sup> as well as attractive building blocks in the synthesis of sulfur-containing functional monomers.<sup>2</sup> The most promising and straightforward method for preparing propargylic sulfides is the transition-metal complex-catalyzed substitution reaction of propargylic alcohol derivatives with sulfur nucleophiles such as thiols. However, this type of reaction has not yet been reported, while a detailed study was performed on propargylic substitution of carbonucleophiles.3 The widespread belief that organosulfur compounds are catalyst poisons may have precluded intensive research in this area.<sup>4</sup> Recent progress in the catalytic synthesis of propargylic sulfides without poisoning of the catalyst has included (1) Ceexchanged Zeolite-catalyzed reactions of cyclohexanethiol and benzenethiol with propargyl bromide<sup>5</sup> and (2) the thiolate-bridged diruthenium complex-catalyzed reaction of 4-methylbenzenethiol with 1-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol.<sup>6</sup> However, these two reactions have serious drawbacks. In the former, the substrates bearing functional groups sensitive to acidic conditions cannot be used.<sup>5</sup> In the latter, the ruthenium catalyst used is very specific, and it is easy to speculate that no reaction occurs with internal propargylic alcohols via a reaction mechanism involving an (allenylidene)ruthenium intermediate.6

On the basis of our study of  $\pi$ -allylruthenium chemistry<sup>7</sup> combined with ruthenium-catalyzed sulfur chemistry,<sup>8</sup> we recently succeeded in developing the first ruthenium-catalyzed allylic substitution of thiols,<sup>9</sup> which has prompted us to examine ruthenium catalysts for use in the propargylic substitution of thiols. After many trials, we finally found a novel ruthenium-catalyzed *S*-propargylation of both aromatic and aliphatic thiols with internal propargylic carbonates under neutral conditions. We report here the development of this new ruthenium-catalyzed reaction which enables a rapid synthesis of propargylic sulfides.

Treatment of benzenethiol (2a) with methyl 3-phenylprop-2-ynyl carbonate (1a) in the presence of 10 mol % CpRuCl(cod) [Cp = cyclopentadienyl, cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene] in *N*-methylpiperidine at 100 °C for 0.5 h under an argon atmosphere gave the corresponding aryl propargylic sulfides, phenyl 3-phenylprop-2-ynyl sulfide (3a), in quantitative yield. In contrast to the earlier work on the ruthenium-catalyzed *S*-allylation of thiols,<sup>9</sup> the present reaction required elevated temperatures over 80 °C and an appropriate solvent, that is, *N*-methylpiperidine<sup>7c,d,10</sup> (vide infra), since propargylic carbonates are less reactive than allylic carbonates.<sup>3b</sup>

First, the effect of the catalyst was examined in the synthesis of **3a** from **1a** and **2a**. Among the catalysts examined, only CpRuCl-(cod) (**3a**, >99%) and CpRuCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**3a**, 66%) showed high catalytic activity. Other di- and zerovalent ruthenium complexes,

Scheme 1 <sup>a</sup> R <sup>1</sup>	OCO2N	+ R²-S ⁄le	H CpRuCl(cod) //-methylpiperidine 100 °C, 0.5 h,	R <sup>1</sup>
1a:R <sup>1</sup> =	=C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	2a:R <sup>2 =</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} {}_{-}{}_{CO_2, -}{}_{MeOH} \\ {}_{C_6}{}_{H_5} \\ {}_{C_6}{}_{H_5} \\ {}_{\mathcal{P}}{}_{-}{}_{CC_6}{}_{H_4} \\ {}_{\mathcal{P}}{}_{-}{}_{CIC_6}{}_{H_4} \\ {}_{\mathcal{P}}{}_{-}{}_{MeOC_6}{}_{H_4} \\ {}_{\mathcal{P}}{}_{-}{}_{MeOC_6}{}_{H_4} \\ {}_{\mathcal{P}}{}_{-}{}_{MeOC_6}{}_{H_4} \\ {}_{C_6}{}_{H_5} \end{array}$	<b>3a</b> :83% (>99%) <sup>a</sup>
1b:	Et	2a:		<b>3b</b> :75% <sup>b</sup>
1c:	Me	2a:		<b>3c</b> :77% (>99%) <sup>a</sup>
1c:	Me	2b:		<b>3d</b> :71% c
1c:	Me	2c:		<b>3e</b> :64% d
1c:	Me	2d:		<b>3f</b> :48% d
1c:	Me	2e:		<b>3g</b> :73% c
1c:	Me <sub>3</sub> Si	2a:		<b>3h</b> :20% (46%) <sup>a,e</sup>

 $^a$  Figures in the parentheses are GLC yield.  $^b{\rm For}$  1 h.  $^e{\rm For}$  3 h.  $^d{\rm For}$  8 h.  $^e{\rm For}$  2 days.

such as Cp\*RuCl(cod) [Cp\* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl], CpRuCl(CO)<sub>2</sub>, RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, RuH<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, [RuCl<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, Ru<sub>3</sub>-(CO)<sub>12</sub>, and Ru( $\eta^6$ -cot)(dmfm)<sub>2</sub> [cot = 1,3,5-cyclooctatriene, dmfm = dimethyl fumarate] were almost ineffective. Cp\*RuCl(cod) showed no catalytic activity. This means that tuning of both the steric and electronic conditions of the active ruthenium center is highly important for the success of the present reaction.<sup>11</sup> No reaction occurred with Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, which is a highly active catalyst for the stereoselective addition of organic disulfides to alkynes,<sup>12</sup> or RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, which is also an active catalyst for the highly regioand stereoselective hydrothiolation of alkynes with thiols.<sup>13</sup> Thus, the present reaction is characteristic of ruthenium catalysts.

The use of an appropriate solvent is also critically important. No reaction occurred in toluene, 1,4-dioxane, DMF, or propionitrile as a solvent. Only tertiary amines such as *N*-methylpiperidine (**3a**, >99%), and triethylamine (**3a**, 92%) were suitable as solvents for the present reaction. These results strongly suggest that amines such as *N*-methylpiperidine act as both a suitable ligand for an active ruthenium intermediate and a solvent to prevent catalyst poisoning by thiols.<sup>10</sup>

The *S*-propargylation of several aromatic thiols (**2**) with propargylic carbonates (**1**) proceeded smoothly with a CpRuCl(cod) catalyst in *N*-methylpiperidine, and the results are summarized in Scheme 1.

In all cases, propargylic carbonates (1) were completely consumed to give the corresponding aryl propargylic sulfides (3a-g) in good to high isolated yields. Allenylic sulfides, which sometimes became a main product in the reactions of propargylic compounds with sulfur compounds,<sup>14</sup> and *vicinal*-dithioethers, which may be derived from the double thiolation of a ( $\sigma$ -allenyl)ruthenium intermediate, were not obtained at all (vide infra). The substituents at the terminal acetylenic carbon in 1 and the electron-donating and -withdrawing substituents on the aromatic ring in 2 did not affect the reaction. Note that the trimethylsilyl-substituted propargylic carbonate, 1d, gave the corresponding propargylic sulfide in

 $<sup>\</sup>ast$  To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: mitsudo@ scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp.

Scheme 2<sup>a</sup>



only 46% yield because of the desilylation of the starting **1d** and the product **3h**. As can be readily seen from Scheme 1, general internal propargylic carbonates are suitable substrates for the present reaction. Functional groups such as OCH<sub>3</sub> and Cl on the phenyl substituent in **1a** were also tolerated. Unsubstituted terminal propargylic carbonates are poor substrates for the present reaction.<sup>15</sup>

Surprisingly, CpRuCl(cod) was totally inefficient for the *S*-propargylation of aliphatic thiols such as octanethiol (**4a**) with **1a**. It has been pointed out that ruthenium-catalyzed reactions require highly careful tuning of the reaction conditions with substrates to obtain products in high yields and selectivities.<sup>11</sup> By screening the catalysts again, we finally found that CpRuCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is specifically effective for the *S*-propargylation of aliphatic thiols (**4**) (Scheme 2). Since the coordination ability of aliphatic thiols (**4**) is higher than that of aromatic thiols (**2**), a more basic ligand such as PPh<sub>3</sub> is needed to prevent catalyst poisoning by thiols.

While the reaction mechanism is not yet clear, we now believe that the ( $\sigma$ -propargyl)ruthenium complex<sup>16</sup> is a key intermediate in the present reaction. N-Methylpiperidine and PPh3 may contribute to the formation of this ( $\sigma$ -propargyl)ruthenium intermediate. It has been found that propargylic compounds add oxidatively to transition metals to give either ( $\sigma$ -allenyl)metal complexes or ( $\sigma$ -propargyl)metal complexes.<sup>17</sup> Generally, ( $\sigma$ -allenyl)metal complexes were generated from terminal propargylic compounds.<sup>18</sup> Internal propargylic compounds gave ( $\sigma$ -propargyl)metal complexes because of the bulkiness of the terminal substituent on the alkyne moiety.<sup>18b</sup> If the present reaction proceeds via a ( $\sigma$ -allenyl)ruthenium intermediate, vicinal-dithioethers by double nucleophilic thiolation of a ( $\sigma$ -allenyl)ruthenium intermediate as well as allenylic sulfides should be obtained as in the palladium-catalyzed reaction of propargylic compounds with nucleophiles.3a,c However, the present reaction exclusively gave the corresponding propargylic sulfides without the formation of allenylic sulfides or vicinal-dithioethers (vide supra), which suggests that the present reaction proceeds via the ( $\sigma$ -propargyl)ruthenium intermediate.

In conclusion, simple and readily available ruthenium complexes of the type CpRuClL<sub>2</sub> were found to act as efficient catalysts for the synthesis of propargylic sulfides via *S*-propargylation of aromatic or aliphatic thiols under neutral conditions. This reaction may complement the previously reported thiolato-bridged diruthenium complex-catalyzed *S*-propargylation of thiols with *terminal* propargylic alcohols.<sup>6</sup> This reaction should also open up new opportunities in transition-metal complex-catalyzed sulfur chemistry.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by a Grantsin-Aid for Scientific Research (B) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. T.K. acknowledges financial support from the UBE Foundation, General Sekiyu Research & Development, Encouragement & Assistance Foundation, and the Yamada Science Foundation.

**Supporting Information Available:** Complete experimental procedures and compound characterization data (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## References

- (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Skokotas, G.; Maligres, P.; Zuccarello, G.; Schweiger, E. J.; Toshima, K.; Wendeborn, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 1272. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Dai, W.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1387.
- (2) (a) Metzner, P.; Thuillier, A. In Sulfur Reagents in Organic Synthesis; Academic Press: London, U.K., 1994. (b) Garratt, P. J.; Neoh, S. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3255. (c) Prabharasuth, R.; Van Vranken, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5256. (d) Kundu, N. G.; Nandi, B. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 5885. (e) Braverman, S.; Zafrani, Y.; Gottlieb, H. E. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 9177. (f) Mitzel, T. M.; Palomo, C.; Jendza, K. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 136.
- (3) (a) Tsuji, J.; Watanabe, H.; Minami, I.; Shimizu, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2196. (b) Keinan, E.; Bosch, E. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4006 and references therein. (c) Minami, I.; Yuhara, M.; Watanabe, H.; Tsuji, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 334, 225. For a review, see: (d) Tsuji, J. Palladium Reagents and Catalysts; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1995; p 453.
- (4) (a) Hegedus, L. L.; McCabe, R. W. Catalyst Poisoning; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1984. (b) Hutton, A. T. In Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry; Wilkinson, G., Gillard, R. D., McCleverty, J. A., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, U.K., 1984; Vol. 5, p 1151.
- (5) Reddy, T. I.; Varma, R. S. Chem. Commun. 1997, 621.
- (6) Nishibayashi, Y.; Wakiji, I.; Hidai, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11019.
- (7) (a) Tsuji, Y.; Mukai, T.; Kondo, T.; Watanabe, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 369, C51. (b) Kondo, T.; Mukai, T.; Watanabe, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 487. (c) Mitsudo, T.; Zhang, S.-W.; Kondo, T.; Watanabe, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 341. (d) Zhang, S.-W.; Mitsudo, T.; Kondo, T.; Watanabe, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 450, 197. (e) Kondo, T.; Ono, H.; Satake, N.; Mitsudo, T.; Watanabe, Y. Organometallics 1995, 14, 1945. (f) Kondo, T.; Kodoi, K.; Nishinaga, E.; Okada, T.; Morisaki, Y.; Watanabe, Y.; Mitsudo, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5587. (g) Morisaki, Y.; Kondo, T.; Mitsudo, T. Organometallics 1999, 18, 4742. (h) Morisaki, Y.; Kondo, T.; Mitsudo, T. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 949. (i) Matsushima, Y.; Onitsuka, K.; Kondo, T.; Mitsudo, T.; Takahashi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10405.
- (8) (a) Kondo, T.; Uenoyama, S.; Fujita, K.; Mitsudo, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 482. For a review, see: (b) Kondo, T.; Mitsudo, T. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3205.
- (9) Kondo, T.; Morisaki, Y.; Uenoyama, S.; Wada, K.; Mitsudo, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 8657.
- (10) The S-propargylation of 2a with 1a in toluene actually proceeded in the presence of a catalytic amount of CpRuCl(cod) (10 mol %) and N-methylpiperidine (20 mol %) to give 3a in 73% yield, which strongly suggests that N-methylpiperidine acts as a suitable ligand for an active ruthenium species as well as a simple solvent. For other examples, see:
  (a) Mitsudo, T.; Zhang, S.-W.; Satake, N.; Kondo, T.; Watanabe, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, *33*, 5533. (b) Zhang, S.-W.; Mitsudo, T.; Kondo, T.; Watanabe, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 485, 555. (c) Kondo, T.; Okada, T.; Mitsudo, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 186.
- (11) Mitsudo, T.; Kondo, T. Synlett 2001, 309 and references therein.
- (12) (a) Kuniyasu, H.; Ogawa, A.; Miyazaki, S.; Ryu, I.; Kambe, N.; Sonoda, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 9796. (b) Gareau, Y.; Orellana, A. Synlett **1997**, 803.
- (13) (a) Kuniyasu, H.; Ogawa, A.; Sato, K.; Ryu, I.; Kambe, N.; Sonoda, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5902. (b) Ogawa, A.; Ikeda, T.; Kimura, K.; Hirao, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5108.
- (14) (a) Yamada, Y.; Suzukamo, G.; Yoshioka, H.; Tamaru, Y.; Yoshida, Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3599. (b) Ishiyama, T.; Mori, M.; Suzuki, A.; Miyaura, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 525, 225.
- (15) Further, the reaction of 2a with a secondary propargylic carbonate, methyl 1,3-diphenylprop-2-ynyl carbonate (1e), is quite complicated. The yield of the normal S-propargylic substitution product, phenyl 1,3-diphenylprop-2-ynyl sulfide, was low (>10%), while the addition of diphenyl disulfide generated from 2a to the triple bond in 1e as well as reduction of a OCO<sub>2</sub>-Me group occurred to give unexpected (Z)-1,2-bis(phenylthio)-1,3-diphenylprop-1-ene (6a) in an isolated yield of 38%. Further studies are apparently required for these reactions using secondary and *tertiary* propargylic carbonates.
- (16) For (σ-propargyl)ruthenium complexes, see: (a) Shuchart, C. E.; Willis, R. R.; Wojcicki, A. J. Organomet. Chem. **1992**, 424, 185. For Rh, see: (b) Kayan, A.; Wojcicki, A. Inorg. Chim. Acta **2001**, 319, 187 and references therein.
- (17) For palladium-catalyzed reactions of propargylic compounds via (σ-propargyl)palladium intermediates, see: (a) Mandai, T.; Matsumoto, T.; Kawada, M.; Tsuji, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 2161. (b) Mandai, T.; Tsujiguchi, Y.; Matsuoka, S.; Tsuji, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 7615. (c) Mandai, T.; Matsumoto, T.; Tsujiguchi, Y.; Matsuoka, S.; Tsuji, J. J. Organomet. Chem. **1994**, *473*, 343.
- (18) (a) Elsevier, C. J.; Kleijn, H.; Ruitenberg, K.; Vermeer, P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1983**, 1529. (b) Elsevier, C. J.; Kleijn, H.; Boersma, J.; Vermeer, P. Organometallics **1986**, 5, 716. (c) Tseng, T.-W.; Wu, I.-Y.; Lin, Y.-C.; Chen, C.-T.; Chen, M.-C.; Tsai, Y.-J.; Chen, M.-C.; Wang, Y. Organometallics **1991**, 10, 43.

JA027750O